

February 27, 2012

Re: Bill No. 5248

Dear Chairpersons and members of the Public Safety and Security Committee:

I, along with Steve Fortin own and operate Spray-Tech Foam Insulation, LLC based in Southington, CT. We are entering our 5th year in operation and offer the residents of Connecticut several different insulation products to make their homes as energy efficient as possible.

Over the course of these years, we have employed numerous Connecticut residents and are currently seeking several more to add to our team. We are active members in local organizations and take pride in participating in our community's events.

While we understand the importance of legislation to protect ourselves and the people of Connecticut from unsafe products or chemicals, we believe the broad language of UFFI in Sec 29-277 unfairly covers many safe and quite possibly superior insulation products, such as Tripolymer Injection Foam.

We at Spray-Tech Foam Insulation, LLC are constantly seeking to add quality products to our product line that will not only be cost effective for our customers, but will also add to the comfort and energy efficiency of the home. We believe Tripolymer Foam meets these objectives and will help us expand our business, ultimately adding jobs and tax revenue to our State.

I thank you for your time and consideration and for holding this hearing on Bill No. 5248. We hope that you conclude that the UFFI wording should only include the intended urea-formaldehyde insulation and not unfairly target many safe and effective products.

Sincerely,

Ronald P. DaMotta, Jr.
Spray-Tech Foam Insulation, LLC